Due date: Monday of Week 16

Exercises: 3, 6, 9, 14, pages 162-164 Exercises: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, pages 189-190

Keep in mind the assertion of Problem 9, page 163. That gives a different characterization of rank of a matrix. Actually, this is the definition of rank in many other books. In the future HWs and exams, you can freely use the equivalence between *determinant rank* and rank. A related terminology is "minor". A minor is just the determinant of a submatrix.

**Problem 1.** Consider a matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}_3(F),$$

and

$$xI_3 - A = \begin{bmatrix} x - a_{11} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} \\ -a_{21} & x - a_{22} & -a_{23} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & x - a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}_3(F[x]).$$

Write  $\det(xI_3 - A) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3$ . Show that  $c_3 = 1, c_0 = -\det(A)$ . What are  $c_2$  and  $c_1$ ?

You might recognize that  $c_2$  is related to tr(A). But what about  $c_1$ ? Find the expression of  $c_1$  even it is complicate. At the very end of this course (next spring), we will see how  $c_1$  is related to A (for general A over general field. Over an algebraically closed field, it might be easier to connect  $c_1$  with A.)

**Problem 2.** Let  $A_i \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n_i \times n_i}$  be a square matrix for  $1 \le i \le k$ . We consider the following matrix in block form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & A_2 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that  $\det(A) = \det(A_1) \det(A_2) \dots \det(A_k)$ .

This is a slight generalization of Exercise 7, page 155.

The next two problems might be hard.

**Problem 3.** Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ , which can be viewed as a dimension 4 vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  when viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given an element  $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ , we can consider the  $(\mathbb{R}$ -)linear operator  $T_A: V \to V$  given by  $T_A(\alpha) = A\alpha$ . Thus we can consider the matrix  $[T_A]_{\mathcal{B}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{4\times 4}(\mathbb{R})$ . Take  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a+bi & x+yi \\ 0 & c+di \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ . Compute  $\det([T_A]_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \mathbb{R}$  and compare it with  $\det(A) \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We can consider the same question for  $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ . The result is not hard to guess. But its proof seems complicate. We will prove this after Chapter 6. One could summarize the result of the

1

general case in the following commutative diagram

$$\operatorname{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Mat}_{(2n)\times (2n)}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\downarrow^{\operatorname{det}} \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{det}}$$

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{R}.$$

where the top map is that defined by  $A \mapsto [T_A]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and the  $\operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  map is  $\operatorname{Nm}(z) = z\overline{z}$ . One consequence of this result is  $\det([T_A]_{\mathcal{B}}) \geq 0$ . Note that if n = 1, the above gives a way to compute the (familiar) norm map using determinant of  $\operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

The following problem is similar to the above one, but in a different situation. You only have to do part (1) of the next problem. Try part (2) for one specific example.

**Problem 4.** Denote  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$ . Consider the field  $F = \{a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2 : a, b, c\}$ . We also view F as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension 3.

- (1) For  $x = a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2$ . We define the linear map  $T_x : F \to F$  by  $T_x(y) = xy$ , which is viewed a linear map between  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces. Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of F over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can get the matrix  $[T_x]_{\mathcal{B}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathbb{Q})$ . We define a map  $\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} : F \to \mathbb{Q}$  by  $\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \det([T_x]_{\mathcal{B}})$ . Compute  $\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$  explicitly. Show that  $\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(xy) = \operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(x)\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(y)$ , and  $\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \neq 0$  unless x = 0.
- (2) Consider the vector space  $V = F^n$ . We have  $\dim_F V = n$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V = 3n$ . Given a matrix  $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)$ , we can consider the linear map  $T_A : F^n \to F^n$  defined by  $T_A(\alpha) = A\alpha$ . Fix an ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of V as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space and we can get a matrix  $[T_A]_{\mathcal{B}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{(3n) \times (3n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ . What is the relationship between  $\det(A) \in F$  and  $\det([T_A]_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ ?

The result is similar to the above one and it could be summarized using the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(F) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Mat}_{(3n) \times (3n)}(\mathbb{Q})$$

$$\downarrow^{\operatorname{det}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{det}}$$

$$F \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

We won't prove this result in this course. If you are interested, see [Bou98, Proposition 6, page 546] for a proof.

The next problem is important in some sense because it explains one (deep hidden) reason why the matrix  $xI_n - A$  is so important in Chapters 6 and 7. It is better to keep in mind the assertion at least. We might go back to this problem again in a future course (abstract algebra, which you will learn in your sophomore year.)

Let F be a field. We consider K = F[x] and  $K^n$ . An element  $u \in K^n$  will be considered as a column vector and thus it has the form

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix},$$

and each  $u_i \in F[x]$  can be written as  $u_i = u_{i0} + u_{i1}x + u_{i2}x^2 + \cdots + u_{ik}x^k$  with  $u_{ij} \in F$ . Since  $u_{ik}$  can be zero, we can take a k such that it works for all i, namely each  $u_i$  has its last term of the form  $u_{ik}x^k$ . Thus we can write u as

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_{10} \\ u_{20} \\ \vdots \\ u_{n0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} \\ u_{21} \\ \vdots \\ u_{n1} \end{bmatrix} x + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} u_{1k} \\ u_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ u_{nk} \end{bmatrix} x^k.$$

3

Write

$$\mathbf{u}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1j} \\ u_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ u_{nj} \end{bmatrix} \in F^{n},$$

then we can write  $u = \mathbf{u}_0 + x\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + x^k\mathbf{u}_k$ . Here we write  $x^j$  in front of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  (so that it looks like a scaler times a column vector). Thus an element in  $K^n = F[x]^n$  can be viewed as a polynomial with coefficients in  $F^n$ .

Fix a matrix  $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)$ . Note that as an element in  $\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$ , the matrix  $xI_n - A$  defines a linear map  $T_{(xI_n - A)} : K^n \to K^n$  defined by

$$T_{(xI_n - A)}u = (xI_n - A)u,$$

as usual. We now consider the map  $\phi: K^n \to F^n$  defines as follows. Given an element

$$u = \mathbf{u}_0 + x\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + x^k\mathbf{u}_k \in K^n,$$

we define

$$\phi(u) = \mathbf{u}_0 + A\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + A^k\mathbf{u}_k \in F^n.$$

Namely, we just replace the symbol x by the matrix A. The notation should be clear.

**Problem 5.** (1) Show that  $\phi$  is surjective. (This should be trivial).

- (2) Show that  $\operatorname{Im}(T_{(xI_n-A)}) \subset \ker(\phi)$ . (This is also trivial).
- (3) Show that  $\ker(\phi) \subset \operatorname{Im}(T_{(xI_n-A)})$ . (It needs some work, but not very hard).

If you don't know how to do part (3), try the example when n = 2. Using notations and terminology you will learn later (in a different course), the assertions of this problem say that the sequence

$$K^n \xrightarrow{T_{(xI_n-A)}} K^n \xrightarrow{\phi} F^n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact (as K-modules). Currently, you don't have to worry about the terminology.

## References

[Bou98] Nicolas Bourbaki, Algebra I. Chapters 1–3, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation [MR0979982 (90d:00002)]. ↑2

## 1. CAUCHY-RIEMANN EQUATION

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open subset and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be a function. Let  $z_0 \in U$  be a given point. The function f is called holomorphic at  $z_0$  if there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $T: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0) - T(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Here the absolute value  $|\ |$  denote the standard one on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We can identify  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$  by the map  $(x,y) \mapsto x + yi$  with  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Thus f can also be viewed as a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . In other words, if f(x+iy) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y) with  $u(x,y), v(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$F(x,y) = (u(x,y), v(x,y)).$$

For example, if  $f(z) = z^2$ , then we can write  $f(x+iy) = x^2 - y^2 + 2xyi$ . Thus  $F(x,y) = (x^2 - y^2, 2xy)$ . If  $f(z) = \bar{z}$ . Then f(x+yi) = x - yi and F(x,y) = (x, -y).

By definition, if f is holomorphic at  $z_0$ , then F is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Thus  $D(F)|_{(x_0, y_0)}$  exists. Moreover, we have

$$D(F)|_{(x_0,y_0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{(x_0,y_0)}.$$

By definition, the map  $D(F)_{(x_0,y_0)}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

**Problem 6.** (1) Show that f is holomorphic at  $z_0$  iff F is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $D(F)|_{(x_0, y_0)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

- (2) Find a condition such that D(F)|<sub>(x<sub>0</sub>,y<sub>0</sub>)</sub>: ℝ<sup>2</sup> → ℝ<sup>2</sup> is ℂ-linear in terms of ∂u/∂x, ∂u/∂y, ∂v/∂x, ∂v/∂y.
  (3) Let f<sub>1</sub>(z) = z<sup>2</sup>, f<sub>2</sub>(z) = z̄. Determine whether f<sub>1</sub> (or f<sub>2</sub>) is holomorphic (at any point) using part (2).

The equations obtained above are called Cauchy-Riemann equations. Try to generalize this to a general map  $f: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  (or even  $F: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ .)